

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,678	07/16/2003	Dennis Richard Jennings	<del></del>	9641
7590 04/04/2006			EXAMINER	
Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell & Dunbar, P.A. Post Office Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095			PADEN, CAROLYN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,678	JENNINGS, DENNIS RICHARD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carolyn A. Paden	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Only be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	March 2006.	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 12-23</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 12-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	,
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Application/Control Number: 10/620,678

Art Unit: 1761

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102 has been dropped in response to applicants' arguments.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callingham for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that the silicon oil in Callingham is different from that used in the claims because it is volatile. Applicant urges that Callingham is non-analogous art. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive because preamble limitations do not carry any weight in product claims. No unobvious or unexpected difference is seen between the claimed composition and the referenced composition.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartung for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that the silicon oil in Hartung is different from that used in the claims because it is volatile. Applicant urges that Hartung is non-analogous art. This argument has been considered but is not

Art Unit: 1761

persuasive because preamble limitations do not carry any weight in product claims. No unobvious or unexpected difference is seen between the claimed composition and the referenced composition.

Claims 1, 3-9 and 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ball in view of Lissant for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that the silicon oil in Ball is different from that used in the claims because it is volatile. Applicant urges that Ball is non-analogous art. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive because preamble limitations do not carry any weight in product claims. No unobvious or unexpected difference is seen between the claimed composition and the referenced composition.

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112 has been dropped in response to applicants' amendments to the claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/620,678

Art Unit: 1761

78 Page 5

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN 3-31-06
PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761